

Kenney

What's the purpose?

On what did you base that statement?

Confirmed it with Jason Trumpbower – I think I checked

“It might not have been worded ideally”

Most of that was largely just until proven innocent, but the only thing that I don't think was expressly said in until proven innocent was the fact that Duke had actually approached law enforcement with that policy as opposed to vice versa.

ALE/Police Rel

I'm not sure if I can exactly tell you where I'm coming up with that – I'm trying to think of a source that I can tell you where I heard that, but my understanding is that it's more or less the case that it's slightly the case. Even just in speaking with burness, he said it was kind of a mutual – they mutually arrived at these decisions.

I find it kind of odd that ALE would go to the trouble to hand out 100 warrantless citations in one night without—completely on their own. I want to tell you but I don't think I really can. If it's wrong, then the person I'm getting it from is wrong.

I had told them that, it sounded, basically, that it sounded like

It was kind of like, I just wasn't—basically, it was sloppy, but I don't think she quoted me right. What I had said there was more of an offhand comment. What I told her was that the board was kind of involved in the preparation of that statement, and I think it was something that they approved, etc, and the way it sounded alone, my interpretation was that the statement was pretty carefully worded and I felt that a lawsuit or a potential lawsuit had a lot to do with it. I don't think that I stated that unequivally that he was ordered to do so by the board, but I don't think I stated unequivally that---I probably did—that the board was kind of involved in it.

I guess it's partly the nature of your questions that make you wonder whether you're really doing this

The part about, actually, wanting the case to go to trial – conversation with bob steel. I'm pretty sure he's disclosed that.

My idea was that,

Willing to correct it if its wrong, with the ALE, I might have conflated ALE and Duke Police—I might be incorrect, I guess it's party because I don't have a great understanding of what ALE is and my understanding at the time was that they cooperate with Durham police a lot—so I didn't think it was too much a stretch—to me it meant that some policy applied to both. If that's wrong, I'll correct it.

There was a policy, at least with the durham police, so I guess...my wording might have been more careful to specifically point out – basically, I was thinking of it as – I'm not going to say that Duke spoke with each individual officer who then raided a house without a warrant. They spoke with the police, and my impression was that ALE was kind of working with the police.

The ALE part was, the first time I talked to you, you had told me that. That the good neighbor policy that Duke had actually approached the police

Emails and notes typically, and sometimes it is said to me and before I print it I'll double check with someone.

The point that you thought was most controversial, I'm largey attributing that to you. I maybe lumping that together again, and again I'd be happy to submit that and correct it.

I'll admit that it's probably sloppy writing (ALE quote). The good neighbor policy – it would probably be better if you email me on this. The only thing I would ask is that if you're writing an article about us, if you're going to call into question some of the things that we've been quoted on or published, then I just hope that you give me the opportunity to retract some things if I did make a mistake, or note that I will admit if something's incorrect.

I'm not going to pretend that I have a great deal of experience in anything to do with journalism really, so if you really are sincere about, in your assertion that we're kind of on the same side here. Then hopefully you'll be a little bit forgiving.

Asked for scrupulous source documentation for article:

Almost all of that is based on until proven innocent, so, and I'm confident that I can provide citations from that.